

1 KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321)  
2 United States Attorney

3 MARK L. KROTOSKI (CSBN 138549)  
4 Chief, Criminal Division

5 TRACIE L. BROWN (CSBN 184339)  
6 Assistant United States Attorney

7 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055  
8 San Francisco, CA 94102  
9 Telephone: (415) 436-6917  
10 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234

11 Attorneys for Plaintiff

12  
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
15 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	No. 3-05-70991 EDL
Plaintiff,	)	[PROPOSED] ORDER AND STIPULATION
v.	)	WAIVING TIME UNDER RULE 5.1 AND
GONZALO MAYORGA,	)	EXCLUDING TIME FROM MAY 26, 2006
Defendant.	)	TO JUNE 22, 2006 FROM THE SPEEDY
		TRIAL ACT CALCULATION
		(18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A))

---

The parties appeared before the Court on April 26, 2006. At that time, the Court:

(1) scheduled a new preliminary hearing/arraignment date of May 26, 2006 at 9:30 a.m., before the Honorable Elizabeth D. Laporte; (2) documented the defendant's waiver of time limits under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1; and (3) documented the exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A), from April 26, 2006 to May 26, 2006.

Because counsel for both the government and the defendant are now scheduled to be out of the office on May 26, 2006, and because the parties are close to a pre-indictment resolution of this matter, the parties have agreed, and the Court finds and holds, as follows:

1. The defendant waives the time limits for a preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1. Failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny the

1 defense reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of  
2 due diligence and the defendant's counsel's newly scheduled absences from the office, and  
3 would deny the defendant continuity of counsel.

4       2. Counsel for the defense believes that postponing the preliminary hearing is in his  
5 client's best interest, and that it is not in his client's interest for the United States to indict the  
6 case before the currently scheduled preliminary hearing/arraignment date. The parties are close  
7 to a pre-indictment disposition of the case, and expect to have the case resolved in the very near  
8 future.

9       3. The defendant agreed to an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act. Failure to  
10 grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny both government and defense counsel  
11 reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due  
12 diligence, both counsel's scheduled absences from the office, and the need for both sides to  
13 investigate the facts of the case, and would deny the defendant and the government continuity of  
14 counsel.

15       4. Given these circumstances, the Court found that the ends of justice served by  
16 excluding the period from May 26, 2006 to June 22, 2006, outweigh the best interest of the  
17 public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Id. § 3161(h)(8)(A).

18       5. Accordingly, and with the consent of the defendant, the Court ordered that the period  
19 from May 26, 2006 to June 22, 2006 be excluded from Speedy Trial Act calculations under 18  
20 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B)(iv).

21       4. The Court scheduled a new preliminary hearing/arraignment date of June 22, 2006, at  
22 9:30 a.m., before the Honorable Maria-Elena James.

23       IT IS SO STIPULATED.

24 DATED: \_\_\_\_\_ /S/  
25 \_\_\_\_\_  
26 TRACIE L. BROWN  
27 Assistant United States Attorney

28 DATED: \_\_\_\_\_ /S/  
\_\_\_\_\_  
BARRY PORTMAN (for STEVEN  
KALAR)  
Attorney for GONZALO MAYORGA

STIPULATION AND ORDER  
3-05-70991 EDL

1 IT IS SO ORDERED.  
2  
3 DATED: May 22, 2006  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

